

٨

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/503,037	02/11/2000	Joseph Korb	84582.1000	6037
75	90 03/15/2004		EXAMINER	
James E Marin	na Esq	AVELLINO, JOSEPH E		
Winston & Strav		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
200 Park Avenu	•		AKI ONI	- TALEK HOMBEK
New York, NY 10166			2143	
			DATE MAILED: 03/15/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/503,037	KORB ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joseph E. Avellino	2143				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	February 2004.					
•	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow						
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicat riority documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	, (PTO-413)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2143

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-35 are pending in this examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-6, 9-16, 18-25, 27-33, and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mousseau et al. (USPN 6,477,529) (hereinafter Mousseau) in view of Kadyk et al. (USPN 6,674,767) (hereinafter Kadyk).

Art Unit: 2143

3. Referring to claim 1, Mousseau discloses a method for transferring data to a wireless device over a wireless communications network, said method comprising the steps:

receiving at a first server (gateway) a request for data transmitted over said wireless communications network from said wireless device (abstract; Figure 5, reference characters 300A, 302-306);

transmitting said request over a second communications network from said first server to a second server containing the requested data (abstract; Figure 2, reference character 12');

receiving at said first server said requested data transmitted over said second communications network from said second server (abstract);

parsing said requested data on said first server to remove data not displayable (advanced HTML and JAVA content) on said wireless device (Figure 3, reference characters 104-112; col. 5, line 57 to col. 6, line 4; col. 12, lines 38-62); and

transmitting said parsed requested data over said wireless communications network from said first server (gateway) to said wireless device (Figure 3, reference character 116; Figure 5).

Mousseau does not disclose including an identification of a wireless device type transmitted from the wireless device. Kadyk discloses transmitting an identification of a wireless device type transmitted from the wireless device which can be used to identify the mobile unit (Figures 5-6, reference characters 506, 606; col. 12, lines 38-60). It would be obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

Application/Control Number: 09/503,037 Page 4

Art Unit: 2143

made to combine the teaching of Kadyk with Mousseau to reduce the number of gateways needed to exchange data with a wide range of networks and devices such as in the wireless world as supported by Kadyk (col. 2, lines 30-33) thereby reducing complexity of the system while allowing for the ease of future upgrades or replacements.

- 4. Referring to claim 2, Mousseau discloses the second communications network is the World-Wide-Web (col. 3, lines 54-63).
- 5. Referring to claim 3, Mousseau discloses the requested data constitute a Web page (col. 3, lines 54-63).
- 6. Referring to claim 4, Mousseau discloses reformatting said request into an HTTP request prior to transmitting said request to said second server (col. 4, lines 26-41).
- 7. Referring to claim 5, Mousseau discloses compressing said parsed requested data prior to transmitting said parsed requested data from said first server to said wireless device (col. 4, lines 35-41).
- 8. Referring to claim 6, Mousseau discloses encrypting said parsed requested data prior to transmitting said parsed requested data from said first server to said wireless device (col. 4, lines 35-41).

Art Unit: 2143

9. Claims 10-15, 19-32 are rejected for similar reasons as stated above.

Claims 7, 9, 16, 18, 25, 27, 33, and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mousseau in view of Kadyk in view of Landgren (USPN 6,115,754) (cited by Applicant in IDS).

10. Referring to claim 7, Mousseau in view of Kadyk discloses a method and system of transferring data as stated in the claims above. Mousseau in view of Kadyk does not disclose including an identification of a wireless communications network type.

Landgren discloses including an identification and a wireless communications network type (HDTP Network) (the Office takes "wireless communications network type" can be broadly construed as any data or identifying matter which can differentiate one communications protocol which an be transmitted wirelessly from another) (since Landgren teaches that the system taught uses HDTP/HTTP communications methods such as OPTIONS, GET, HEAD, etc. Landgen inherently teaches that the wireless communications network type is of the HDTP/HTTP wireless communications protocol) (col. 9, lines 7-16). It would be obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Landgren with Mousseau and Kadyk to efficiently determine a mobile unit's position as stated in Landgren (col. 2, lines 64-67).

Page 6

Art Unit: 2143

11. Referring to claim 9, Mousseau in view of Kadyk discloses a method and system of transferring data as stated in the claims above. Mousseau in view of Kadyk does not disclose requested data is transmitted to said wireless device in data packets at a pace dependent upon said wireless communications network type. Landgren discloses requested data is transmitted to said wireless device in data packets at a pace dependent upon said wireless communications network type (col. 9, line 62 to col. 10, line 24). It would be obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Landgren with Mousseau and Kadyk to efficiently determine a mobile unit's position as stated in Landgren (col. 2, lines 64-67).

12. Claims 16, 18, 25, 27, 33, and 35 are rejected for similar reasons as stated above.

Claims 8, 17, 26, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mousseau in view of Kadyk in view of Landgren as applied to claims 1, 7, 10, 16, 19, 25, 28, and 33 above, and further in view of De Boor et al. (USPN 6,173,316) (cited by applicant in IDS) (hereinafter De Boor).

13. Mousseau in view of Kadyk in view of Landgren disclose a method of transferring data to a wireless device as stated in the claims above. Mousseau in view of Kadyk in view of Landgren do not disclose removing data is dependent upon said wireless device type. De Boor discloses disclose removing data is dependent upon said wireless device

Art Unit: 2143

type (col. 18, lines 2-4; col. 28, line 25 to col. 29, line 18). It would be obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of De Boor with Mousseau, Kadyk and Landgren to efficiently adapt HTML documents to be displayed on wireless devices as stated in De Boor (abstract).

Response to Amendment

14. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-35 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 16. McLain (USPN 6,493,758) discloses offline viewing of Internet Content with a mobile device.
- 17. Rubinstein et al. (USPN 6,594,707) discloses a smart communication agent.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph E. Avellino whose telephone number is (703) 305-7855. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Wiley can be reached on (703) 308-5221. The fax phone numbers

Art Unit: 2143

Page 8

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

JEA March 8, 2004

DAVID WILEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100